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Process

Step 1: International Relations: Realistic view or Liberalistic view?

Step 2: Education for Peace

Step 3: Peace Subjects in Hiroshima University
What is taught about “peace” in International Relations?
Pursuit of “Peace”

- Which actor’s peace (basically among states)?
  - Historical Analysis (Why wars happened? Whose peace pursued? How happened, and What conflicted?)
  - Theoretical Analysis (Realistic view [Realism], or Liberalistic view [Liberalism])

- Toward larger peace for all, all the time
  - From “negative peace” to “positive peace” (Johan Galtung)
  - Multiple levels (Global, international, state, local and individual)
Realism: Peace in realistic view?
Why “nature man’s war”?  

- So nature man; thirdly, glory.
- The first, maketh men invade for gain; the second, for safety; and the third, for reputation. The first use violence, to make themselves......
- (in Chapter XIII(PART I) of the natural condition of mankind as concerning their felicity, and misery, para.6.)
- Hereby it is manifest, that during the time men live without a common power to keep them all in awe, they are in that condition which is called war; and such war, as is of every men, against every men...(para.8)
The core idea of Realism

- Statism
- Survival
- Self-help
According to Realism, state action to international relations will be conducted when the state considers to pursue the national interests. Because states themselves are being for their survival.
“Although often deeply pessimistic, realists profess to describe the world the way it really is rather than how we wish it to be.”

Peace in liberalistic view (Liberalism)?
“Liberals concede that we have far to go before cooperative patterns of behavior are sustained across a variety of issues and challenges.”

Classical Liberalism

- **Early thinkers**
  - J. Locke (1632-1704): The rule of law
  - J. Bentham (1748-1832): Liberal states respect International Law.
  - E. Kant (1724-1804): Republican will establish perpetual peace.

(Source: Jackson, Robert, Sorensen, G., and Moller, Jorgen (eds.), *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*, Oxford University Press, 2019, p.109.)
“Liberalism is a theory of both government within states and good governance among states and peoples worldwide...liberalism seeks to project values of order, liberty, justice, toleration into international relations.”

“Democratic Peace”? 


  1. Why war has become unthinkable among liberal states? (wealthy states/friends each other)
  2. How then should states inside the liberal zone of peace conduct their relations with non-liberal regimes? (*Aggressive to other type of regimes*)

(Other Reference) “...the issue of whether Wilhelmine Germany was a democracy. (p.41),”... “Wilhelmine Germany was as democratic as France and Britain. (p.42),” Layne, Christopher, “Kant or Cant: The Myth of Democratic Peace,” *International Security*, Vo.19, No.2, 1994, pp.5-49.
Is democracy a better system...?

For: Historical evidence supports the democratic peace thesis. / The fall of communism on 1989... / Democratic states are foundation of global peace. / Democratization of post-conflict states reaps dividends in peace.

Against: Democracies are highly war-prone. / Democracy is in retreat. / Problems at home. / Democratic peacebuilding is a new form of imperialism.

Peace Education: Does it depend on the positions, such as realism and liberalism?
Its definition(s)...

- **Journal of Peace Education** “understands peace education as education for the achievement of non-violent, ecologically sustainable, just and participatory societies”

• “Establishing a culture of peace and sustainable development are at the heart of UNESCO’s mandate. Training and research in sustainable development are among the priorities, as well as human rights education, skills for peaceful relations, good governance, Holocaust remembrance, the prevention of conflict and peace building.”

Who rules global governance for peace (education)?
The United Nations as a Driving Force to Liberal Peace

Background

• Est. 1945 (51 original member states)
• 193 member states (2018)
• To secure collective security for international peace and security
UN Charter, Preamble

• WE THE PEOPLES OF THE UNITED NATIONS DETERMINED
• to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind, and to reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small, and to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained, and to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,
• AND FOR THESE ENDS
• to practice tolerance and live together in peace with one another as good neighbours, and
• to unite our strength to maintain international peace and security, and
• to ensure, by the acceptance of principles and the institution of methods, that armed force shall not be used, save in the common interest, and
• to employ international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of all peoples,
Decision of 31 January 1992 (3046th meeting): Statement by the President (UNSC)

• The responsibility of the Security Council in the maintenance of international peace and security

• Preventive action, to avert crises; peacemaking, to restore peace through diplomacy; and peacekeeping, to reduce tensions and consolidate efforts to restore peace
“Threat to the Peace” (UN Charter Ch.7, Article 39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>UNSC Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invasion by Iraq to Kuwait</td>
<td>Persecution of citizens</td>
<td>S/RES/688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>Peace and stability in Somalia</td>
<td>S/RES/733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>Breach of international humanitarian law</td>
<td>S/RES/827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Genocide</td>
<td>S/RES/918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Terrorism</td>
<td>S/RES/1368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Created by Author (Tatsuo Yamane)
Mutually Contested ideas of Conflict Resolution

- “Humanitarian Intervention” or “Non-interference”
- “Regime Change (democratization)” or “Keeping the Regime (tentative stabilization for keeping regional and world order)”
- “Advancing Peacebuilding by external view” or “following Local Ownership”
How do you teach about creation for peace?

• “Humanitarian Intervention” or “non-interference”
• “Regime Change (for shifting to democratization)” or “Keeping the Regime (tentative stabilization for keeping regional and world order)”
• “Advancing peacebuilding by external view” or “following local ownership”
### Use of Veto since 2007 (as of June 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Draft</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Member Casting Veto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 July 2015</td>
<td>S/2015/508</td>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 May 2014</td>
<td>S/2014/348</td>
<td>Middle East - Syria</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 2012</td>
<td>S/2012/538</td>
<td>Middle East - Syria</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 February 2012</td>
<td>S/2012/77</td>
<td>Middle East - Syria</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 October 2011</td>
<td>S/2011/612</td>
<td>Middle East - Syria</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 February 2011</td>
<td>S/2011/24</td>
<td>Middle East situation, including the Palestinian question</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Cont. (Use of Veto)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Draft</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Member Casting Veto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 February 2019</td>
<td>S/2019/186</td>
<td>The situation in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</td>
<td>Russian Federation, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
<td>S/2018/516</td>
<td>Middle East situation, including the Palestinian question</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 April 2018</td>
<td>S/2018/321</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2018</td>
<td>S/2018/156</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 December 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/1060</td>
<td>Middle East situation, including the Palestinian question</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 November 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/970</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/962</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 October 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/884</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 April 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/315</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 February 2017</td>
<td>S/2017/172</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2016</td>
<td>S/2016/1026</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>China, Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 October 2016</td>
<td>S/2016/846</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What will be taught about “peace” in the situation of global governance?

• Governing process/actions by a set of rules in a particular/comprehensive area of interest among multiple actors
  • “Governance” as “new” concept under the background of globalization and informatization beyond state authority
  • Stressing the state’s effort on openness and accountability to the citizen (cf. T. Inoguchi)

• How to govern stakeholders in peace issue?
  • Making common rules
  • Overcoming significant distinctions among the rules/conflicting justice
Case of Peace Subject in HU: Common Subject for New Students

- **Peace Subjects**
  - Extensive varieties of the subjects include Peace Studies Initiated by Hiroshima; Peace and People; Hiroshima Studies; The Environment and Peace; Thinking About Peace; The Age of World Wars; War and Peace from the Perspective of Medicine
  - Mandatory subject for all new students (Undergraduate) in HU
  - Including fieldwork to peace monuments
The Five Guiding Principles of Hiroshima University

- The Pursuit of Peace
- The Creation of New Forms of Knowledge
- The Nurturing of Well-Rounded Human Beings
- Collaboration with the Local, Regional, and International Community
- Continuous Self-Development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction: What is peace and Conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Conflicts in Middle East and its beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Conflicts in Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Conflicts in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Evaluating mid-term paper among students themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>UN system and the collective security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Discussion: “What is correlation between YOUR specialty and peace”? Submission of discussion report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wrap-up meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Promoting Student’s Initiative

• Introduction
  • Peace and conflict around you! (quarrel with your family or friends)
  • Skill up communication (through discussion and writing)!
  • Take your leadership! (role-sharing)
  • Approaching peace issues is related your career paths!
  • Be punctual for your mates in the class!
  • You are a stakeholder for global peace!
Evaluating Mid-term Paper

- Evaluating Mid-term Paper among Students themselves
  - Write a short paper on “contemporary armed conflict and its challenges”
  - Bring the copies (6) for distribution to the discussion group
  - Discuss and choose the best one in your group!
  - Filling up evaluation sheet (distributed)
  - Presentation
Correlating Peace and Yourself

- Discussion on “What is Correlation between Your Specialty and Peace”
  - Agenda-setting
  - Getting out of member’s story
  - realizing correlation between your future story and positive peace
  - Building awareness of needs for peace education in/around you and the surrounding world
  - Submission of discussion report
Concluding Remarks

- Global Governance in 21st Century
  - Contrasted cognition of politics, economy, and culture/value through peace
  - Challenges on “liberal peace” against “illiberal peace” *vice versa*
  - Efficacy of international organizations and civil society organization

- Area of Peace
  - Significance and challenges on localization of “peace”

- Role of Education
  - Facilitating proactive learning through cordial discussion
  - “Not life, but good life, is chiefly achieved.” (Socrates, reported by Plato)